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In a previous paper we reported ${ }^{\prime}$ that many kinds of 3-, 4-, and 6-substituted indole derivatives can be obtained directly from the corresponding l-substituted indoles by irradiation with U. V. light. In order to attempt our reaction on indoles in which the l-substituent is a nitrogen function, we needed 1-aminoindole derivatives. Though various l-aminoindole derivatives are known, their preparation by known methods is not straightforward. To meet our need, therefore, we developed a new general method for the facile synthesis of l-aminoindole derivatives by the reaction of indoles with hydroxylamine-0-sulfonic acid. We wish to report this method here. General procedure

Hydroxylamine-0-sulfonic acid ( $6.152 \mathrm{~g}, 54.4 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) was added in several portions to a chilled suspension of indole ( $3.201 \mathrm{~g}, 27.3 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) and crushed KOH ( 24.55 g , 438.4 mmole ) in abs. dimethylformamide ( 50 ml ). After the mixture had been stirred for 1 hr at room temperature, water was added and then the mixture was extracted with benzene. The benzene extract was washed with water, dried, and concentrated in vacuo to leave an oil, which was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane (1:1) first gave 2.130 g of indole. Further elution with the same solvent system produced 1.162 g of 1 -aminoindole ${ }^{3}$ (yield: 32.1\%, $96.3 \%$ corrected for unreacted starting material). Recrystallization from hexane gave colorless prisms: $\operatorname{mp} 41-41.5^{\circ} ; \operatorname{ir}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3340$ and $1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{nmr}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$.$) ,$ $6.33\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} . \mathrm{J}=3.5\right.$ ), $7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}),. 7.33-7.00(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}):$. Mass Spectrum; m/e $132\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$: Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 72.70 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.10 ; \mathrm{N}, 21.20$. Found: C, 72.66; $\mathrm{H}, 6.08$; N , 21. 16.

This is the first synthesis of l-aminoindole (IIa). The l-aminoindoles (IIbIIe) shown in Table $I$ were also prepared in the same way. Their structures were confirmed by their nmr spectra, in which the l-amino proton signal appeared as a broad singlet at $\delta$ 4.04-4.26 (2H) in addition to signals of the 2 - and 3-substi-
tuents. Further proof was obtained from reaction of the l-aminoindoles (IIa-IIe) with acetic anhydride to afford the corresponding l-diacetylimidoindoles (IIIaIIIe). These diacetyl derivatives showed an absorption band at 1714-1734 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in the infrared spectra, while in the nmr spectra an acetyl proton was observed as a singlet at $\delta 2.28-2.30(6 H)$, showing that both the acetyl groups are equivalent. l-acetamidoindoles (IVa-IVe) were obtained in quantitative yield by hydrolysis of the corresponding diacetyl compounds (IIIa-IIIe) with dilute alkali. They showed an amide band at $1674-1679 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and their nmr spectra suggested the existence of two restricted rotational isomers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ whereas only one isomer existed in $d_{6}$ DMSO. Investigation of various reactions of l-aminoindoles is now in progress.

|  | $\longrightarrow$ |  |  | $\underset{A C-N-A C C^{N} \text { III }}{\mathbb{R}_{R_{2}}^{R_{1}} \rightarrow} \rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | yield(\%) | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ signal <br> 反. (CDCl3) | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Ac.signal <br> ס. (CDCl3) | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | IR(KBr) |
| a) $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ | 41-41.5 | 32.1 (96.3) | 4.46 | 985-99.5 | 2.30 | 141-142 | 1678 |
| b) $\mathrm{P}_{2}=\mathrm{H}^{2}$ | oil | 39.5(84.2) | 4.16 | 96.5-97.5 | 230 | 139-140 | 1677 |
| c) $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{e}} \\ & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}\end{aligned}$ | 595-60.5 | 39.8(85.6) | 4.30 | 138-139 | 2.28 | 174.5-175.5 | 1679 |
|  | oil | 33.7(66.6) | 4.13 | oil | 2.30 | 103-104 | 1674 |
| e) $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R})^{\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{Me}\end{aligned}$ | 114-115 | 17.1 (33.2) | 4.04 | oil ${ }^{*}$ | 2.29 | 1335-1345 | 1678 |

## Table I ( ) =yield,corrected for unreacted starting material

*     * Analysis was not conducted because these compounds were easily hydrolyzed during purification and contained small amounts of the corresponding monoacetyl compounds (IVd, IVe), detected by thin layer chromatography.
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